UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/573,871	12/27/2006	Nigel Cronin	103210-0013	7093
	7590 11/05/200 MCKENNA, LLP	9	EXAMINER	
88 BLACK FA	LCON AVENUE		DELLA, JAYMI E	
BOSTON, MA 02210			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3739	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/05/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/573,871	CRONIN ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAYMI DELLA	3739				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	lely filed the mailing date of this communication. (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>27 De</u>	ecember 2006					
	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowan		secution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-37,39-50 and 52-70</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) <u>1-37, 39-50, 52-70</u> are subject to restr	riction and/or election requiremen	ıt.				
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	ite				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application Other:						
i apoi inologinian bate	o/					

Art Unit: 3739

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 and 372.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

Group I, claim(s) 1-37, drawn to a method of treating hollow anatomical structures.

Group II, claim(s) 39-50, 52-70, drawn to an applicator and system for applying radiation to treat hollow anatomical structures.

- 2. The inventions listed as Groups I and II do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, they lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: Groups I and II share the corresponding technical feature of a microwave radiation emitter which is known in the art. Grundy et al. (5,693,082) disclose a microwave radiation emitter (56) designed for insertion into a vessel (Column 4, Line 20; Fig. 7). Therefore, this feature cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because it fails to provide contribution to the prior art. Hence, a holding that, these groups do not have a single general inventive concept is proper.
- 3. This application contains claims directed to more than one species of the generic invention. These species are deemed to lack unity of invention because they are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1.

The species are as follows:

Set I:

Species A: Fig. 2a

Art Unit: 3739

Species B: Fig. 3

Species C: Fig. 4a

Species D: Fig. 5

Species E: Fig. 6a

Set II:

Species A: beeps are emitted at a regular rate and markings are a uniform pattern (Page 16, Lines 25-26 and Fig. 8c)

Species B: beeps are emitted at a non-regular rate and markings are a uniform pattern (Page 17, Line 1)

Species C: beeps are emitted at a regular rate and markings are a non-uniform pattern (Page 17, Line 4)

Species D: beeps are emitted at a non-regular rate and markings are a non-uniform pattern (Page 17, Line 7)

Set III:

Species A: withdrawal from the vessel by hand (Page 20, Line 5)

Species B: withdrawal from the vessel with optical sensor detector system (Fig. 12b)

Species C: withdrawal from the vessel with magnetic detector system (Page 21, Line 26)

Species D: withdrawal from the vessel with reflector elements (i.e., photodiode or phototransistor) detector system (Page 22, Lines 1-2)

Art Unit: 3739

Species E: withdrawal from the vessel with radioactive detector system

(Page 22, Line 7)

Applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single species **for Set I, II, and III** to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. The reply must also identify the claims readable on the elected species, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that

all claims are generic is considered non-responsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

4. The claims are deemed to correspond to the species listed above in the following manner:

<u>Set I:</u>

Species A: 40-41, 49

Species B: 41

Species C: 42

Species D: 42

Species E: 42

Art Unit: 3739

Set II:

Species A: 5, 45

Species B: 7, 45

Species C: 6, 46

Species D: 46

Set III:

Species A: 8

Species B: 9-11, 19-31, 32-33, 34, 37, 52-67,70

Species C: 9-11, 19-31, 34, 37, 52-64, 69-70

Species D: 9-11, 19-31, 34, 36-37, 52-64, 70

Species E: 9-11, 19-31, 34, 35, 37, 52-64, 68, 70

The following claim(s) are generic: 1-4, 12-18, 39, 43-44, 47-48, 50.

- 5. The species listed above do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1 because, under PCT Rule 13.2, the species lack the same or corresponding special technical features for the following reasons: the species share the corresponding technical feature of a microwave radiation emitter which is known in the art. Grundy et al. (5,693,082) disclose a microwave radiation emitter (56) designed for insertion into a vessel (Column 4, Line 20; Fig. 7). Therefore, this feature cannot be a special technical feature under PCT Rule 13.2 because it fails to provide contribution to the prior art. Hence, a holding that, these groups do not have a single general inventive concept is proper.
- 6. A telephone call was made to Heather Shapiro on October 30, 2009 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.
- 7. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

Application/Control Number: 10/573,871

Art Unit: 3739

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Page 6

8. The examiner has required restriction between product and process claims. Where applicant elects claims directed to the product, and the product claims are subsequently found allowable, withdrawn process claims that depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of the allowable product claim will be considered for rejoinder.

All claims directed to a nonelected process invention must require all the limitations of an allowable product claim for that process invention to be rejoined.

In the event of rejoinder, the requirement for restriction between the product claims and the rejoined process claims will be withdrawn, and the rejoined process claims will be fully examined for patentability in accordance with 37 CFR 1.104. Thus, to be allowable, the rejoined claims must meet all criteria for patentability including the requirements of 35 U.S.C. 101, 102, 103 and 112. Until all claims to the elected product are found allowable, an otherwise proper restriction requirement between product claims and process claims may be maintained. Withdrawn process claims that are not commensurate in scope with an allowable product claim will not be rejoined. See MPEP § 821.04(b). Additionally, in order to retain the right to rejoinder in accordance with the above policy, applicant is advised that the process claims should be amended during prosecution to require the limitations of the product claims. Failure to do so may result in a loss of the right to rejoinder. Further, note that the prohibition against double

Art Unit: 3739

patenting rejections of 35 U.S.C. 121 does not apply where the restriction requirement is withdrawn by the examiner before the patent issues. See MPEP § 804.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAYMI DELLA whose telephone number is (571)270-1429. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7:00-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Linda Dvorak can be reached on (571)272-4764. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Linda C Dvorak/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3739

/J. D./ Examiner, Art Unit 3739 October 30, 2009